

العنوان: Multiobjective Reliability - Based Optimization of Prestressed

Concrete Beams

المؤلف الرئيسي: Taha, Mohammed Qahtan

مؤلفین آخرین: Barakat, Samer(super)

التاريخ الميلادي: 2000

موقع: عمان، الأردن

الصفحات: 209 - 1

رقم MD: 568525

نوع المحتوى: رسائل جامعية

اللغة: English

الدرجة العلمية: رسالة ماجستير

الجامعة: جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا الاردنية

الكلية: كلية الدراسات العليا

الدولة: الاردن

قواعد المعلومات: Dissertations

مواضيع: الهندسة الميكانيكية، التصميم المعماري، الخرسانة

رابط: https://search.mandumah.com/Record/568525

© 2020 دار المنظومة. جميع الحقوق محفوظة. هذه المادة متاحة بناء على الإتفاق الموقع مع أصحاب حقوق النشر، علما أن جميع حقوق النشر محفوظة. يمكنك تحميل أو طباعة هذه المادة للاستخدام الشخصي فقط، ويمنع النسخ أو التحويل أو النشر عبر أي وسيلة (مثل مواقع الانترنت أو البريد الالكتروني) دون تصريح خطي من أصحاب حقوق النشر أو دار المنظومة.



ABSTRACT

MULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

By

Mohammed Oahtan Taha

Under the supervision of

Dr. Samer Barakat

A comprehensive study on the optimal deterministic and probabilistic design of prestressed concrete beams (PCB) is presented. The Feasible Direction Method is used to obtain a set of optimal geometrical dimensions of asymmetrical I-beam cross section, and amount of prestressing steel. The bonded tendon type is considered in application of post-tensioned beam with a single duct of parabolic shape. Many parameters were analyzed including the effect of span length considering different loading cases. The performance constraints in the deterministic approach, according to the ACI 318/95 Building Code provisions, are based upon the flexural stresses, the prestressing losses, the ultimate shear strength, the ultimate moment capacity of the section with respect to cracking moment and factored loads, the crack width, the immediate deflection and the long term deflection. A practical and efficient reliability based-structural optimization (RBSO) approach is conducted to design PCB. The solution is obtained using an optimization-based program linked to a reliability analysis program. In this program, the first order second moment reliability method for the aforementioned components and systems is employed. Material properties, loading and models used to predict structural behavior at all stages, are treated as random variables. An approach of single objective RBSO (SORBO) of PCB to minimize the overall cost of the beam in terms of concrete,

prestressing steel, mild steel and formwork is given by a one-point solution. This solution does not provide enough information for decision making in the design process. Hence, the multiobjective reliability-based optimization (MORBO) of PCB is shown to be practically feasible and more beneficial than SORBO. An algorithm to handle uncertainty in MORBO problems considering the ε-constraint method is used and the Pareto optimum solutions are obtained. Secondary and tertiary competing objective functions have been simultaneously applied for both minimizing the overall cost and the long term deflection, as well as maximizing; the system reliability index, the reliability of flexural strength capacity, and the reliability of tensile stress at service stage. The probabilistic designs are performed using two types of target reliability index. As a result of MORBO solutions, the design charts and their interaction curves are developed. These charts can be used by the designers in selecting the best-desired solutions.

اعتمادية التصميم الأمثل متعدد الوظائف للجيزان الخرسانية مسبقة الإجهاد

اعداد: محمد قصطان طه اشراف: د. سامر بركات

الملخص

قدّمت هذه الرسالة دراسة شاملة عن التصميم الأمثل التحديدي والاحتمالي للجيزان الخرسانية مسبقة الإجهاد، وقد استخدمت طريقة الاتجاه الممكن للحصول على مجموعة الأبعاد الهندسية للجائز امقطع عرضي بشكل I غير متناظر وكمية من حديد التسليح المسبق الإجهاد. تمّ اعتماد حبال تسليح مسبقة الإجهاد في تصميم الجائز لاحق الشد ذو قناة مفردة من النوع المبطـــن بشكل مكافئــــى المقطع، وتمّ تحليل العديد من المعاملات المتغيرة القيم المتضمنة تأثير طول الفضاء باعتماد حالات مختلفة من التحميل. إنّ قيسود الأداء (المأخوذة وفقا الشروط ومواصفات البناء الأمريكي ACI 318/95) في الطريقة التحديدية قد بنيت على اجهادات الانحناء و ضائعات قوى الإجهاد المسبق و مقاومة القص العظمني ومقاومة المقامع للعزم الأعظم المتعلقة بعزم التشقق و عزم الأحمال المعاملة، وعرض الشِّق والانحراف الأولى والانحراف طــويل الأمـد. هذا وقد جرى تصميم جيزان خرسانية مسبقة الإجهاد بأتباع طريقة عملية و كفوءة، وهي طريقة التصاميم الإنشائية المثلي المسندة بالاعتمادية، كما وجرى تطوير برامج خاصة بالامثليــة مرتبطة مع برامج أخرى لتحليل الاعتمادية من المرتبة الأولى والمستوى الثاني مع القيود أو المحددات المذكورة أنفا في هذه البرامج. عوملت خواص المواد و التحميل والمعامل المستخدمة في تنبؤ سلوك جميع المحددات والقيود أعلاه على إنسها متغيرات عشوائية. لقد أعطت طريقة الأمثلية المسندة بالاعتمادية مفردة الهدف (SORBO) في تصميم الجيزان الخرسانية مسبقة الإجهاد، أعطت حلولًا وحسيدة في تقليل الكلفة الإجمالية للجائز المتمثلة بكلف الخرسانة وحديد التسلسيح المسبق الإجهاد وحديد التسلــيح العادي وكلف القوالب الحديديـــة، إلا أنّ هذه الحلول لم تعطى معلومات كافية لاتخاذ القرارات المناسبة أثناء عملية التصميم، وعليه، ثبت بأنّ طريقة الأمثلية المسندة بالاعتمادية متعددة الأهداف (MORBO) طريقــة عملية منطقيـــة في الحلول ذات فوائد عملية أفضل بكثير من الطريقـــة مفردة الهدف. أستخدمت طريقـــة القسيد المستماة (E-Constraint) في حل مسائل الأمثلية المسندة بالاعتمادية متعددة الأهداف والحصول على حلول باريتو (Pareto) المثلي مع الأخذ بعين الاعتبار اللامحققـــيّـــة في قيم المتغيـــرات العشـــوائية. كما و تم تطبيق أمثلة لأهداف متنافسة ثنانية و ثلاثية متزامنة لتقليل كل من الكلف الإجمالية للجيزان والانحراف طويل الأمد، بالإضافة إلى تطبيق أمثلة أخرى لإيصال معيار منظومة اعتمادية القيود المخاصة بمقاومة الانحناء وكذلك اجهادات الشد في مرحلة الخدمة إلى حدها الأقصى. تمّ إنجاز التصاميم الاحتماليّة في تطبيق الحلول الأمثَّائِــة المسندة بالاعتمادية متعــددة الأهــداف باستخدام نوعين من أهداف معايير الاعتمادية، وتمّ إظـــهار مخططات بيانيّة مع منحنياتها المتداخلة بحيث يمكن للمصممين استخدامها في اختيار أفضل الحلول المرغوبة.



العنوان: Multiobjective Reliability - Based Optimization of Prestressed

Concrete Beams

المؤلف الرئيسي: Taha, Mohammed Qahtan

مؤلفین آخرین: Barakat, Samer(super)

التاريخ الميلادي: 2000

موقع: عمان، الأردن

الصفحات: 209 - 1

رقم MD: 568525

نوع المحتوى: رسائل جامعية

اللغة: English

الدرجة العلمية: رسالة ماجستير

الجامعة: جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا الاردنية

الكلية: كلية الدراسات العليا

الدولة: الاردن

قواعد المعلومات: Dissertations

مواضيع: الهندسة الميكانيكية، التصميم المعماري، الخرسانة

رابط: https://search.mandumah.com/Record/568525

© 2020 دار المنظومة. جميع الحقوق محفوظة. هذه المادة متاحة بناء على الإتفاق الموقع مع أصحاب حقوق النشر، علما أن جميع حقوق النشر محفوظة. يمكنك تحميل أو طباعة هذه المادة للاستخدام الشخصي فقط، ويمنع النسخ أو التحويل أو النشر عبر أي وسيلة (مثل مواقع الانترنت أو البريد الالكتروني) دون تصريح خطي من أصحاب حقوق النشر أو دار المنظومة.



CONTENTS

	DED	CATION	İ
	ACK	NOWELEDGMENTS	ii
	CON	TENTSi	ii
	LIST	OF FIGURES v	'iii
	LIST	OF TABLES ×	ίv
	ABST	TRACT x	vii
Ch	apter		
I	INT	RODUCTION1	
	1.1	General 1	
	1.2 1	Literature Review4	
	1.3	Objectives and Scope	l
	1.4 1	Methodology	
n	FUN	NDAMANTALS OF STRUCTURAL RELIABILITY AND	
	OP	TIMIZATION THEORIES	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	STRUCTURAL RELIABILITY THEORY	I
		2.2.1 Background	5
		2.2.2 Sources of Uncertainties	5
		2.2.3 Levels of Reliability Methods)
		2.2.4 Probability Distribution	
		2.2.5 The Performance Function and Limit State)
		2.2.6 The Second Moment Reliability Index)
		2.2.7 Transformation of Non-normal Random Variables	l
		2.2.8 Algorithm to Find β and the Failure Point (Lee et. al, 1993)	2
		2.2.9 System Reliability Index	5
	2.3	STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION THEORY	
		2.3.1 Background	3
		2.3.2 Optimization Levels)
		2.3.3 General Formulation of Deterministic Optimization)

	2.3.4 Design Space	. 32
	2.3.5 Feasible Direction Method	33
	2.3.6 One Dimensional Search	36
Ш	ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS	37
	3.1 Introduction	37
	3.2 Concrete Cross Section and Material Properties	38
	3.3 Span Lengths and Loads	39
	3.4 Applied Bending Moment and Shear	40
	3.5 Allowable Flexural Stresses	41
	3.6 Prestressing Losses	42
	3.6.1 Losses Due to Friction	43
	3.6.2 Loss Due to Elastic Shortening of the Concrete	44
	3.6.3 Loss Due to Anchorage Slip	45
	3.6.4 Loss Due to Creep of Concrete	46
	3.6.5 Loss Due to Concrete Shrinkage	47
	3.6.6 Loss Due to Relaxation of Steel	47
	3.6.7 Summary of Stress Losses	48
	3.7 Prestressing Force and Flexural Stresses	49
	3.8 Partial Prestressing	50
	3.8.1 Non-Prestressed Reinforcement	52
	3.8.2 Contribution of Non-Prestressed Reinforcement	52
	3.9 Serviceability and Ultimate Design Requirements	53
	3.9.1 Ultimate Flexural Strength Capacity	53
	3.9.2 Nominal-to-Cracking Moment Ratio	57
	3.9.3 Shear Strength Capacity	58
	3.9.3.1 Design Basis	. 58
	3.9.3.2 Flexural-Shear Cracking	59
	3.9.3.3 Web-Shear Cracking	. 60
	3.9.3.4 Required Area of Web Reinforcement	61
	3.9.3.5 Minimum Web Reinforcement	62
	3.9.4 Maximum Crack Width	62
	3.9.5 Camber, Immediate and Final Deflections	64

	3.10	The Eccentricity e _c	67
	3.1	Determination of the Cable Profile	67
	3.12	Design Steps	69
IV	DE	TERMINISTIC OPTIMIZATION OF PC BEAMS AND THEIR	
		SOCIATED RELIABILITY LEVELS	
	4.1	Introduction	71
	4.2	DETERMINISTIC OPTIMAL DESIGN APPROACH	72
		4.2.1 General	72
		4.2.2 Description of Design Variables	72
		4.2.3 Description of Constraints	73
		4.2.3.1 Side Constraints	74
		4.2.3.2 Inequality Constraints	75
		4.2.3.3 Geometrical Constraints	78
		4.2.4 Description of Objective Function	78
		4.2.5 Applications	80
		4.2.6 Conclusions	90
	4.3	RELIABILITY ANALYSIS OF PC BEAMS	91
		4.3.1 Variability in Resistance and Load Effects	91
		4.3.1.1 Variability in Resistance	91
		4.3.1.2 Load Effects	93
		4.3.1.3 Model Coefficients of Limit States	94
		4.3.1.4 Summary of Variability	95
		4.3.2 Limit State Functions	95
		4.3.2.1 Flexural Stresses Limit States	96
		4.3.2.2 The Prestressing Losses Limit State	97
		4.3.2.3 The Shear Capacity Limit State	97
		4.3.2.4 The Flexural Strength Capacity Limit State	99
		4.3.2.5 The Flexural-Cracking Strength Limit State	98
		4.3.2.6 The Cracking Strength-Bending Moment Limit State	98
		4.3.2.7 The Maximum Crack Width Limit State	99
		4.3.2.8 The Deflection Limit States	99
		4.3.3 Applications	99

B	EAMS	109
5.	1 Introduction	109
5.:	2 Variability of Reliability Components	110
5.:	3 Target Reliability Indices	110
5.4	4 Effect of Correlation Among Variables	111
5.:	5 Formulation of Reliability-Based Optimization	111
5.6	6 Solution Method	114
5.1	7 Applications	116
5.8	8 System Reliability Evaluation	125
5.9	9 Evaluation of Limit State Reliability	125
5.	10 Comparison Between Probabilistic and Deterministic Solutions	132
5.	11 Conclusions	137
6.1	1 Introduction	141
	IULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY - BASED OPTIMIZATION OF	
	2 Mathematical Description of Multiobjective Optimization	
	3 Components of MORBO Problem	
	4 Solution of MORBO Using the ε-Constraint Approach	
	5 The Objective Functions	
6.6	5 Applications	
	6.6.1 Two-Objective RBSO Problems	
	6.6.2 Three-Objective RBSO Problems	
6.7	7 Conclusions	189
St	UMMARY, CONCLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	191
7.	l Summary	191
7.3	2 Conclusions	193
	3 Recommendations for Future Work	107

	BIBLIOGRAPHY	198
A	APPENDIX The Considered Limit State Functions of PCB	201
	ARABIC ABSTRACT	209

LIST OF FIGURES

13	•			
r	1	σ	u	re
_	-	-	-	

2.1	The standard normal density function (Ang and Tang, 1984)	19
2.2	Graphical representation of β and failure point	24
2.3	(a) Series system model	26 26
2.4 2.5	The optimum design process, (Arora, 1989) Design space, (Kirsch, 1981)	31 32
2.6	Usable feasible direction S, (Vanderplaats, 1984)	34
2.7	Algorithm for the method of feasible direction method, (Vanderplaats, 1984)	35
3.1	Prestressed concrete I-beam section	38
3.2	Prestressed concrete beam (a) Loading (b) Geometry (parabolic tendon profile)	39 39
3.3	Elastic stresses in an uncracked prestressed beam, (Nilson, 1987) (a) Effect of initial prestress (b) Effect of initial prestress plus self-weight (c) Effect of final prestress plus full service load (d) Illustration between the applied and permissible elastic stresses	51 51 51 51
3.4	Amount of mild steels and their distribution in cross section of PC post-tensioned	53
3.5	Division of compression zone for computation of ultimate resisting moment, (a) $a < h_1$ (b) $a > h_1$	
3.6	Limiting zone for centroid of prestressing steel and locations of envelope	70
4.1	Beam cross section with design variables	73
4.2	Total cost versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for differ loading cases	rent 85
4.3	Linear Fitting of the total cost and span length of deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases	85
4.4	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 1	87

4.5	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 2
4.6	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 3
4.7	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 4
4.8	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 1
4.9	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 2
4.10	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 3
4.11	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 4
5.1	Flow chart illustrates the SORBO for PCB problems
5.2	Total cost versus span length of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases using type 1 of target reliability index
5.3	Linear fitting of total cost versus span length of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases using type 1 of target reliability index
5.4	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 1 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.5	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 2 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.6	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 3 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.7	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 4 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.8	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 1 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.9	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 2 using type 1 of target reliability index

5.10	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case No using type 1 of target reliability index	128
5.11	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case Nousing type 1 of target reliability index	·1 128
5.12	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 1 usi type 1 of target reliability index	ng 130
5.13	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 2 usi type 1 of target reliability index	ng 130
5.14	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 3 usi type 1 of target reliability index	
5.15	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 4 usi type 1 of target reliability index	
5.16	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs using loading case No.1	
5.17	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs using loading case No.2	
5.18	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs using loading case No.3	
5.19	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic an probabilistic designs using loading case No.4	
6.1	Types of Pareto optimum solution	144
6.2	Feasible zone and Pareto set (a) Design variable space (b) Objective function	145
6.3	The ε-constraints method	151
6.4	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus minimum long term deflection (f_2) for 40 ft span length using type 1 of target reliability index	158
6.5	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f ₁) versus minimum long term deflection (f ₂) for 50 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index	158
6.6	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f ₁) versus minimum long term deflection (f ₂) for 60 ft span length using typel of target reliability index	159
6.7	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f ₁) versus minimum long term deflection (f ₂) for 70 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index	159

6.8	Reliability indices versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type 1 of target reliability index
6.9	Reliability indices versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.10	Normalized design variables versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.11	Normalized design variables versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.12	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 40 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 168
6.13	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 50 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 168
6.14	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 60 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 169
6.15	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 70 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 169
6.16	Reliability indices versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.17	Reliability indices versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.18	Normalized design variables versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety Index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.19	Normalized design variables versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety Index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.20	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 40 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f ₃) using type 2 of target reliability index

6.21	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 70 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f ₃) using type 2 of target reliability index
6.22	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 100 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3) using type 2 of target reliability index
6.23	Reliability indices versus Span Length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3)) using type2 of target reliability index
6.24	Reliability indices versus Span Length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3)) using type2 of target reliability index
6.25	Normalized design variables versus span length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3))
6.26	Normalized design variables versus span length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3))
6.27	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 40 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f_1), system reliability index ($-f_2$), the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity ($-f_3$) using type 2 of target reliability index
6.28	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 70 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f_1), system reliability index ($-f_2$), the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity ($-f_3$) using type 2 of target reliability index
	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 100 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$ using type 2 of target reliability index
6.30	Reliability indices versus span length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$) using type2 of target reliability index 187
6.31	Reliability indices versus span length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$) using type2 of target reliability index 187
	Normalized design variables versus span length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity (-f ₃))
6.33	Normalized design variables versus span length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$)

LIST OF TABLES

T_{a}	hle
14	DIC

3.1	Typical creep parameters, (Neville, 1981)	46
3.2	Suggested multipliers to be used as a guide in estimating long-time cambers deflections for typical members (Martin, 1977)	and 66
3.3	Location of envelope values along the span length	68
4.1	Details of material densities and their costs (Khaleel et. al., 1993)	79
4.2	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 40 ft	81
4.3	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 50 ft	82
4.4	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 60 ft	83
4.5	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 70 ft	84
4.6	Uncertainty in concrete compressive strength (Mac Gregor, 1983)	92
4.7	Statistical description of random variables	96
4.8	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loads cases of span length = 40 ft	ing 102
4.9	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loadicases of span length = 50 ft	ing 102
4.10	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loadin cases of span length = 60 ft	ng 103
4.11	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loadin cases of span length = 70 ft	ng 103
5.1	The considered values of target reliability indices	110
5.2	Requirements and limitations of SORBO of PCB problems	113
5.3	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=40ft	117
5.4	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=50ft	118

5.5	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=60ft	119
5.6	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=70ft	120
5.7	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PC for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 40 ft.	B 133
5.8	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PCE for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 50 ft	3 134
5.9	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PCB for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 60 ft	135
5.10	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PCB for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 70 ft	136
6.1	Design variables, X_1 - X_2 , random variables, Vm_1 - Vm_{10} , and deterministic parameters entering the design, Y_1 - Y_{12}	147
6.2	Constraints of MORBO of PCB problems	148
6.3	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 40 ft span length	153
6.4	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 50 ft span length	154
6.5	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 60 ft span length	155
6.6	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 70 ft span length	156
6. 7	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 40 ft span length	164
6.8	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 50 ft span length	g 165
6.9	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 60 ft span length	g 166
6.10	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 70 ft span length	; 167
6.11	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of tensile stresses at final stage) of 40 ft span length	174

6.12	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of tensile stresses at final stage) of 70 ft span length
6.13	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of tensile stresses at final stage) of 100 ft span length
6.14	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of flexural strength capacity) of 40 ft span length
6.15	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of flexural strength capacity) of 70 ft span length
6.16	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of flexural strength capacity) of 100 ft span length



العنوان: Multiobjective Reliability - Based Optimization of Prestressed

Concrete Beams

المؤلف الرئيسي: Taha, Mohammed Qahtan

مؤلفین آخرین: Barakat, Samer(super)

التاريخ الميلادي: 2000

موقع: عمان، الأردن

الصفحات: 209 - 1

رقم MD: ما 568525

نوع المحتوى: رسائل جامعية

اللغة: English

الدرجة العلمية: رسالة ماجستير

الجامعة: جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا الاردنية

الكلية: كلية الدراسات العليا

الدولة: الاردن

قواعد المعلومات: Dissertations

مواضيع: الهندسة الميكانيكية، التصميم المعماري، الخرسانة

رابط: https://search.mandumah.com/Record/568525 : رابط:

© 2020 دار المنظومة. جميع الحقوق محفوظة. هذه المادة حتاجة بيار ولي الانفاق المحقومية.

ق المادة متاحة بناء على الإتفاق الموقع مع أصحاب حقوق النشر، علما أن جميع حقوق النشر محفوظة. يمكنك تحميل أو طباعة هذه المادة للاستخدام الشخصي فقط، ويمنع النسخ أو التحويل أو النشر عبر أي وسيلة (مثل مواقع الانترنت أو البريد الالكتروني) دون تصريح خطي من أصحاب حقوق النشر أو دار المنظومة.



MULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

JORDAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

MULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

Ву

Mohammed Qahtan Taha

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

at

The Faculty of Graduate Studies

Jordan University of Science and Technology

Aug. 2000

Signature of Author	Date:
---------------------	-------

Committee Members

Dr. Samer Barakat, (Chairman)

Dr. Fayez Abdulla

Dr. Khaldoon Bani-Hani

Dr. Muhammad I. Rjoub, (Cognate, Al-Balqa' Applied University)

Signature and Date

- 3-8,200.

3.-8.-2000

3-8-2000

Dedication

To the incessant fountain of giving,

My Family

as a token of love and gratitude

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to praise and thank Allah for his mercy and guidance, and ask him the truth and the right path.

I greatly would like to thank the person who offered his time and effort to help me, and who continually provides me with invaluable directions and advice, my adviser, Dr. Samer Barakat. I also would like to thank my examining committee; Dr. Fayez Abdulla, Dr. Khaldoon Bani-Hani, and Dr. Muhammad I. Rjoub, for their helpful comments and suggestions. Due thanks are extended to Dr. Osama Nusair and Dr. Abdulla Malkawi for their valuable, guidance and support.

I owe special thanks and present deep appreciation to my father for his prayers, support and encouragement throughout my entire education. I am very grateful to my friends in Jordan, Aouf Al-Ani and Abed Al-Duleimi who made life away from home not only bearable but also enjoyable.

Finally, I thank other friends, especially in Iraq, who directly or indirectly have helped me carry out this work.

CONTENTS

	DED	CATION	İ
	ACK	NOWELEDGMENTS	ii
	CON	TENTSi	ii
	LIST	OF FIGURES v	'iii
	LIST	OF TABLES ×	ίv
	ABST	TRACT x	vii
Ch	apter		
I	INT	RODUCTION1	
	1.1	General 1	
	1.2 1	Literature Review4	
	1.3	Objectives and Scope	l
	1.4 1	Methodology	
n	FUN	NDAMANTALS OF STRUCTURAL RELIABILITY AND	
	OP	TIMIZATION THEORIES	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	STRUCTURAL RELIABILITY THEORY	I
		2.2.1 Background	5
		2.2.2 Sources of Uncertainties	5
		2.2.3 Levels of Reliability Methods)
		2.2.4 Probability Distribution	
		2.2.5 The Performance Function and Limit State)
		2.2.6 The Second Moment Reliability Index)
		2.2.7 Transformation of Non-normal Random Variables	l
		2.2.8 Algorithm to Find β and the Failure Point (Lee et. al, 1993)	2
		2.2.9 System Reliability Index	5
	2.3	STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION THEORY	
		2.3.1 Background	3
		2.3.2 Optimization Levels)
		2.3.3 General Formulation of Deterministic Optimization)

	2.3.4 Design Space	. 32
	2.3.5 Feasible Direction Method	33
	2.3.6 One Dimensional Search	36
Ш	ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS	37
	3.1 Introduction	37
	3.2 Concrete Cross Section and Material Properties	38
	3.3 Span Lengths and Loads	39
	3.4 Applied Bending Moment and Shear	40
	3.5 Allowable Flexural Stresses	41
	3.6 Prestressing Losses	42
	3.6.1 Losses Due to Friction	43
	3.6.2 Loss Due to Elastic Shortening of the Concrete	44
	3.6.3 Loss Due to Anchorage Slip	45
	3.6.4 Loss Due to Creep of Concrete	46
	3.6.5 Loss Due to Concrete Shrinkage	47
	3.6.6 Loss Due to Relaxation of Steel	47
	3.6.7 Summary of Stress Losses	48
	3.7 Prestressing Force and Flexural Stresses	49
	3.8 Partial Prestressing	50
	3.8.1 Non-Prestressed Reinforcement	52
	3.8.2 Contribution of Non-Prestressed Reinforcement	52
	3.9 Serviceability and Ultimate Design Requirements	53
	3.9.1 Ultimate Flexural Strength Capacity	53
	3.9.2 Nominal-to-Cracking Moment Ratio	57
	3.9.3 Shear Strength Capacity	58
	3.9.3.1 Design Basis	. 58
	3.9.3.2 Flexural-Shear Cracking	59
	3.9.3.3 Web-Shear Cracking	. 60
	3.9.3.4 Required Area of Web Reinforcement	61
	3.9.3.5 Minimum Web Reinforcement	62
	3.9.4 Maximum Crack Width	62
	3.9.5 Camber, Immediate and Final Deflections	64

	3.10	The Eccentricity e _c	67
	3.1	Determination of the Cable Profile	67
	3.12	Design Steps	69
IV	DE	TERMINISTIC OPTIMIZATION OF PC BEAMS AND THEIR	
		SOCIATED RELIABILITY LEVELS	
	4.1	Introduction	71
	4.2	DETERMINISTIC OPTIMAL DESIGN APPROACH	72
		4.2.1 General	72
		4.2.2 Description of Design Variables	72
		4.2.3 Description of Constraints	73
		4.2.3.1 Side Constraints	74
		4.2.3.2 Inequality Constraints	75
		4.2.3.3 Geometrical Constraints	78
		4.2.4 Description of Objective Function	78
		4.2.5 Applications	80
		4.2.6 Conclusions	90
	4.3	RELIABILITY ANALYSIS OF PC BEAMS	91
		4.3.1 Variability in Resistance and Load Effects	91
		4.3.1.1 Variability in Resistance	91
		4.3.1.2 Load Effects	93
		4.3.1.3 Model Coefficients of Limit States	94
		4.3.1.4 Summary of Variability	95
		4.3.2 Limit State Functions	95
		4.3.2.1 Flexural Stresses Limit States	96
		4.3.2.2 The Prestressing Losses Limit State	97
		4.3.2.3 The Shear Capacity Limit State	97
		4.3.2.4 The Flexural Strength Capacity Limit State	99
		4.3.2.5 The Flexural-Cracking Strength Limit State	98
		4.3.2.6 The Cracking Strength-Bending Moment Limit State	98
		4.3.2.7 The Maximum Crack Width Limit State	99
		4.3.2.8 The Deflection Limit States	99
		4.3.3 Applications	99

B	EAMS	109
5.	1 Introduction	109
5.:	2 Variability of Reliability Components	110
5.:	3 Target Reliability Indices	110
5.4	4 Effect of Correlation Among Variables	111
5.:	5 Formulation of Reliability-Based Optimization	111
5.6	6 Solution Method	114
5.1	7 Applications	116
5.8	8 System Reliability Evaluation	125
5.9	9 Evaluation of Limit State Reliability	125
5.	10 Comparison Between Probabilistic and Deterministic Solutions	132
5.	11 Conclusions	137
6.1	1 Introduction	141
	IULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY - BASED OPTIMIZATION OF	
	2 Mathematical Description of Multiobjective Optimization	
	3 Components of MORBO Problem	
	4 Solution of MORBO Using the ε-Constraint Approach	
	5 The Objective Functions	
6.6	5 Applications	
	6.6.1 Two-Objective RBSO Problems	
	6.6.2 Three-Objective RBSO Problems	
6.7	7 Conclusions	189
St	UMMARY, CONCLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	191
7.	l Summary	191
7.3	2 Conclusions	193
	3 Recommendations for Future Work	107

	BIBLIOGRAPHY	198
A	APPENDIX The Considered Limit State Functions of PCB	201
	ARABIC ABSTRACT	209

LIST OF FIGURES

13	•			
r	1	σ	u	re
_	-	-	-	

2.1	The standard normal density function (Ang and Tang, 1984)	19
2.2	Graphical representation of β and failure point	24
2.3	(a) Series system model	26 26
2.4 2.5	The optimum design process, (Arora, 1989) Design space, (Kirsch, 1981)	31 32
2.6	Usable feasible direction S, (Vanderplaats, 1984)	34
2.7	Algorithm for the method of feasible direction method, (Vanderplaats, 1984)	35
3.1	Prestressed concrete I-beam section	38
3.2	Prestressed concrete beam (a) Loading (b) Geometry (parabolic tendon profile)	39 39
3.3	Elastic stresses in an uncracked prestressed beam, (Nilson, 1987) (a) Effect of initial prestress (b) Effect of initial prestress plus self-weight (c) Effect of final prestress plus full service load (d) Illustration between the applied and permissible elastic stresses	51 51 51 51
3.4	Amount of mild steels and their distribution in cross section of PC post-tensioned	53
3.5	Division of compression zone for computation of ultimate resisting moment, (a) $a < h_1$ (b) $a > h_1$	
3.6	Limiting zone for centroid of prestressing steel and locations of envelope	70
4.1	Beam cross section with design variables	73
4.2	Total cost versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for differ loading cases	rent 85
4.3	Linear Fitting of the total cost and span length of deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases	85
4.4	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 1	87

4.5	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 2
4.6	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 3
4.7	Normalized design variables versus span length of deterministic design of PCB for loading case No. 4
4.8	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 1
4.9	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 2
4.10	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 3
4.11	Reliability indices of optimum deterministic design versus span length of PCB for loading case No. 4
5.1	Flow chart illustrates the SORBO for PCB problems
5.2	Total cost versus span length of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases using type 1 of target reliability index
5.3	Linear fitting of total cost versus span length of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases using type 1 of target reliability index
5.4	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 1 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.5	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 2 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.6	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 3 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.7	Normalized design variables for SORBO of PCB for loading of case No. 4 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.8	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 1 using type 1 of target reliability index
5.9	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 2 using type 1 of target reliability index

5.10	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case No using type 1 of target reliability index	128
5.11	Reliability indices versus span length of SORBO of PCB for loading case Nousing type 1 of target reliability index	·1 128
5.12	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 1 usi type 1 of target reliability index	ng 130
5.13	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 2 usi type 1 of target reliability index	ng 130
5.14	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 3 usi type 1 of target reliability index	
5.15	Reliability indices versus total cost of SORBO of PCB for loading case No. 4 usi type 1 of target reliability index	
5.16	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs using loading case No.1	
5.17	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs using loading case No.2	
5.18	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs using loading case No.3	
5.19	Area of prestressing steel versus span length for both optimum deterministic an probabilistic designs using loading case No.4	
6.1	Types of Pareto optimum solution	144
6.2	Feasible zone and Pareto set (a) Design variable space (b) Objective function	145
6.3	The ε-constraints method	151
6.4	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus minimum long term deflection (f_2) for 40 ft span length using type 1 of target reliability index	158
6.5	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f ₁) versus minimum long term deflection (f ₂) for 50 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index	158
6.6	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f ₁) versus minimum long term deflection (f ₂) for 60 ft span length using typel of target reliability index	159
6.7	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f ₁) versus minimum long term deflection (f ₂) for 70 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index	159

6.8	Reliability indices versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.9	Reliability indices versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.10	Normalized design variables versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.11	Normalized design variables versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and the long term deflection (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.12	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 40 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 168
6.13	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 50 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 168
6.14	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 60 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 169
6.15	Set of Pareto solutions of PCB; minimum total cost (f_1) versus maximum safety index (f_2) for 70 ft span length using type1 of target reliability index 169
6.16	Reliability indices versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.17	Reliability indices versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.18	Normalized design variables versus span length for first solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f ₁) and maximizing the safety Index (f ₂) using type1 of target reliability index
6.19	Normalized design variables versus span length for last solution of MORBO of PCB with minimizing the total cost (f_1) and maximizing the safety Index (f_2) using type1 of target reliability index
6.20	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 40 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3) using type 2 of target reliability index

6.21	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 70 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3) using type 2 of target reliability index
6.22	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 100 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3) using type 2 of target reliability index
6.23	Reliability indices versus Span Length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3)) using type2 of target reliability index
6.24	Reliability indices versus Span Length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3)) using type2 of target reliability index
6.25	Normalized design variables versus span length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3))
6.26	Normalized design variables versus span length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of tensile stress at final stage (-f3))
6.27	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 40 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f_1), system reliability index ($-f_2$), the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity ($-f_3$) using type 2 of target reliability index
6.28	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 70 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f_1), system reliability index ($-f_2$), the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity ($-f_3$) using type 2 of target reliability index
	Pareto solutions of MORBO of PCB of 100 ft span length for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$ using type 2 of target reliability index
6.30	Reliability indices versus span length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$) using type2 of target reliability index 187
6.31	Reliability indices versus span length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$) using type2 of target reliability index 187
6.32	Normalized design variables versus span length for the first solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f ₁), system reliability index (-f ₂), the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity (-f ₃))
6.33	Normalized design variables versus span length for the last solution of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (the total cost (f_1) , system reliability index $(-f_2)$, the reliability of ultimate flexural strength capacity $(-f_3)$)

LIST OF TABLES

T_{a}	hle
14	DIC

3.1	Typical creep parameters, (Neville, 1981)	46
3.2	Suggested multipliers to be used as a guide in estimating long-time cambers deflections for typical members (Martin, 1977)	and 66
3.3	Location of envelope values along the span length	68
4.1	Details of material densities and their costs (Khaleel et. al., 1993)	79
4.2	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 40 ft	81
4.3	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 50 ft	82
4.4	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 60 ft	83
4.5	Optimum deterministic design of PCB for different loading cases of span length = 70 ft	84
4.6	Uncertainty in concrete compressive strength (Mac Gregor, 1983)	92
4.7	Statistical description of random variables	96
4.8	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loads cases of span length = 40 ft	ing 102
4.9	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loadicases of span length = 50 ft	ing 102
4.10	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loadin cases of span length = 60 ft	ng 103
4.11	Reliability analysis of optimum deterministic results of PCB for different loadin cases of span length = 70 ft	ng 103
5.1	The considered values of target reliability indices	110
5.2	Requirements and limitations of SORBO of PCB problems	113
5.3	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=40ft	117
5.4	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=50ft	118

5.5	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=60ft	119
5.6	Results of SORBO of PCB for different loading cases of span=70ft	120
5.7	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PC for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 40 ft.	B 133
5.8	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PCE for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 50 ft	3 134
5.9	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PCB for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 60 ft	135
5.10	Comparison between optimum deterministic and probabilistic designs of PCB for minimizing the total cost with four loading cases of span length = 70 ft	136
6.1	Design variables, X_1 - X_2 , random variables, Vm_1 - Vm_{10} , and deterministic parameters entering the design, Y_1 - Y_{12}	147
6.2	Constraints of MORBO of PCB problems	148
6.3	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 40 ft span length	153
6.4	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 50 ft span length	154
6.5	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 60 ft span length	155
6.6	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and long term deflection of 70 ft span length	156
6.7	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 40 ft span length	164
6.8	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 50 ft span length	g 165
6.9	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 60 ft span length	g 166
6.10	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing the total cost and and maximizing the system reliability index of 70 ft span length	; 167
6.11	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of tensile stresses at final stage) of 40 ft span length	174

6.12	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of tensile stresses at final stage) of 70 ft span length
6.13	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of tensile stresses at final stage) of 100 ft span length
6.14	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of flexural strength capacity) of 40 ft span length
6.15	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of flexural strength capacity) of 70 ft span length
6.16	Results of MORBO of PCB for minimizing (total cost, - system reliability index, - reliability of flexural strength capacity) of 100 ft span length

ABSTRACT

MULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

By

Mohammed Oahtan Taha

Under the supervision of

Dr. Samer Barakat

A comprehensive study on the optimal deterministic and probabilistic design of prestressed concrete beams (PCB) is presented. The Feasible Direction Method is used to obtain a set of optimal geometrical dimensions of asymmetrical I-beam cross section, and amount of prestressing steel. The bonded tendon type is considered in application of post-tensioned beam with a single duct of parabolic shape. Many parameters were analyzed including the effect of span length considering different loading cases. The performance constraints in the deterministic approach, according to the ACI 318/95 Building Code provisions, are based upon the flexural stresses, the prestressing losses, the ultimate shear strength, the ultimate moment capacity of the section with respect to cracking moment and factored loads, the crack width, the immediate deflection and the long term deflection. A practical and efficient reliability based-structural optimization (RBSO) approach is conducted to design PCB. The solution is obtained using an optimization-based program linked to a reliability analysis program. In this program, the first order second moment reliability method for the aforementioned components and systems is employed. Material properties, loading and models used to predict structural behavior at all stages, are treated as random variables. An approach of single objective RBSO (SORBO) of PCB to minimize the overall cost of the beam in terms of concrete,

prestressing steel, mild steel and formwork is given by a one-point solution. This solution does not provide enough information for decision making in the design process. Hence, the multiobjective reliability-based optimization (MORBO) of PCB is shown to be practically feasible and more beneficial than SORBO. An algorithm to handle uncertainty in MORBO problems considering the ε-constraint method is used and the Pareto optimum solutions are obtained. Secondary and tertiary competing objective functions have been simultaneously applied for both minimizing the overall cost and the long term deflection, as well as maximizing; the system reliability index, the reliability of flexural strength capacity, and the reliability of tensile stress at service stage. The probabilistic designs are performed using two types of target reliability index. As a result of MORBO solutions, the design charts and their interaction curves are developed. These charts can be used by the designers in selecting the best-desired solutions.

Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

It is a well-known fact that the main goal of the structural designer is to achieve a safe, serviceable, durable, feasible, reliable, economical and aesthetically pleasing structure. Optimization techniques with reliability concepts are usually used for satisfying these necessary criteria.

Since the following concepts play a crucial role in this work, it is felt necessary to define them separately. To start with, concrete is essentially a material recognized as strong and ductile in compression, weak and brittle in tension (its strength in tension is much lower than in compression), and hence its response to external loads is improved by applying a precompression.

Prestressed Concrete (PC) is basically concrete in which internal stresses of suitable magnitude and distribution are introduced so that the stresses resulting from external loads are counteracted to a desired degree. In general, PC was adopted for the design of beams up to certain span length.

The prestressing of concrete naturally involves application of a compressive loading, prior to applying the anticipated service loads so that tensile stresses that otherwise would occur are reduced or eliminated. In prestressed concrete construction high-strength reinforcement is used. The initial tensioning of the reinforcement precompresses the surrounding concrete, giving it the ability to resist higher loads prior to cracking (Nilson, 1987).

In prestressed concrete many design variables and parameters come into play to improve the overall design. Hence, the designer can get very larger spans with small concrete sections and lighter structures by making certain improvements at the criteria under the umbrella of both serviceability and ultimate limit state categories. These criteria within the serviceability limit state category include flexural stresses in top and bottom fibers of the concrete section, cracking width, camber, deflections, whereas those within the ultimate limit state category include ultimate shear, bending and cracking strengths.

Structural engineers make attractive and important uses of optimization techniques and search for optimally designed structures. Different techniques of optimization were utilized using mathematical algorithms such as linear, integer, geometric and nonlinear programming. The algorithms for optimization process consist of two main steps, the first; analyzes the structure to find its response for carrying applied loads, whereas the second; redistributes the material. Furthermore, in these problems, there are sets of constraints that control the design under code limitations. Consequently, an optimization problem could be characterized as one in which the best solution is sought without violating its prefixed constraints.

In structural problems, a solution to the single objective optimal design problem is considered unique and will not provide enough information for decision making in the design process. There often exist several criteria (usually conflicting insofar as independently they would lead to different optima) to be optimized in feasible set. Multiobjective (multicriterion or vector) optimization, where a vector-valued objective functions had to be examined, is the adequate approach to this specific topic. The optimum solution of this multiobjective optimization is now given

by a set of solutions. This set is solved using the concept of Pareto optimality, which explains the optimal trade-off curves among several objective functions in graphical form.

The multiobjective optimization, therefore; offers an alternative approach to the single-objective optimization that will be based on secondary, tertiary or higher-order objectives. This alternative is preferable because it simultaneously considers all competing design objectives and results in merit values that can not be further improved without impairing some of the objectives. Later on, a decision-maker will often be faced with a choice of many alternative solutions optimizing the objective (Rao et. al., 1992).

It is now widely recognized that structural problems are nondeterministic because of the unpredictability of loads and strengths of actual structures. Therefore, problems of structural optimization must be solved in the face of uncertainty and, as a consequence, a reliability-based design philosophy should be adopted to provide finally a practical and efficient approach to the optimization of prestressed concrete beams if two or more objectives are considered.

The designed structure must have sufficient reliability against ultimate and serviceability limit states specified by the Codes. Structural failure, defined as the inability of structure to sustain the loads for which it was designed, is considered to be the ultimate limit states. Therefore, the reliability-based design process consists of proportioning the structure to satisfy requirements at ultimate limit state and then to modify the design in order to satisfy the requirements at serviceability limit state.

In this study, dead load and material properties are considered normally distributed random variables, while the live load variable is assumed to have type-I

(Gumble) distribution. Meanwhile, the imperfection in the prediction models used is taken into account by assuming random model coefficients for each limit state function.

This being the case, the design of prestressed concrete asymmetrical I-shape beam is coded into a program and coupled with reliability-based optimization programs. The set of requirements defined by the design methods and the ACI-Code (318-95) are subjected constraints besides other constraints on the shape or size of the structure.

1.2 Literature Review

Hundreds of researches have been published on optimization of structures during the past three and a half decades, but only a small portion of them deal with cost optimization of structures (Sarma, and Adeli, 1998). The great majority of the structural optimization researches are concerned with minimizing the weight of the structure. For concrete structures, the objective function is usually minimizing the cost. Some researchers studied other objectives in optimization of prestressed concrete structures such as; minimum amounts of prestressing steel, minimum volume of concrete, maximum girder spacing, minimum super-structure depth, maximum span-to-depth ratio, maximum feasible span length, minimum super-structure camber, and others (Sarma and Adeli, 1998).

Cohn, and MacRaw (1984), developed a computerized approach, OSCON program, to the optimal design of the three types of structural concrete beam, considering all relevant limit state constraints and other parametric variables. Prestressing optimization and its implications for designing simply supported

reinforced, pre or posttensioned fully or partially prestressed concrete members were considered. The problem formulation required nonlinear programming technique for its solution. The design variables consisted of six geometrical dimensions of the prismatic concrete section. The objective function was minimizing the total cost per unit length.

Later on, optimum limit design of continuous concrete beams for two and three spans (rectangular and I- shape sections) developed by Cohn and Lounis (1993). The study demonstrated the conflict between desirable plastic redistribution (at ultimate limit state) and zero or limited cracking (at serviceability limit state) for fully prestressed concrete structures. Optimization results suggested that partially PC structures representing the optimal prestressing degree strikes a good balance between adequate service conditions (stresses, cracking and deflection) and economy.

Khaleel and Itani (1993), presented a comprehensive study on the optimization of simply supported partially prestressed concrete girders using sequential quadratic programming. The design variables were the geometrical dimensions, the amount of prestressing and non-prestressing steel, and the spacing between shear reinforcement. The constraints used were based on flexural stresses, fatigue stresses, crack width, ductility, initial camber, deflection due to both live loads and dead loads, ultimate moment capacity of the section with respect to cracking moment and factored loads and ultimate shear strength.



العنوان: Multiobjective Reliability - Based Optimization of Prestressed

Concrete Beams

المؤلف الرئيسي: Taha, Mohammed Qahtan

مؤلفین آخرین: Barakat, Samer(super)

التاريخ الميلادي: 2000

موقع: عمان، الأردن

الصفحات: 209 - 1

رقم MD: ما 568525

نوع المحتوى: رسائل جامعية

اللغة: English

الدرجة العلمية: رسالة ماجستير

الجامعة: جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا الاردنية

الكلية: كلية الدراسات العليا

الدولة: الاردن

قواعد المعلومات: Dissertations

مواضيع: الهندسة الميكانيكية، التصميم المعماري، الخرسانة

رابط: https://search.mandumah.com/Record/568525 : رابط:

© 2020 دار المنظومة. جميع الحقوق محفوظة. هذه المادة حتاجة بيار ولي الانفاق المحقومية.

ق المادة متاحة بناء على الإتفاق الموقع مع أصحاب حقوق النشر، علما أن جميع حقوق النشر محفوظة. يمكنك تحميل أو طباعة هذه المادة للاستخدام الشخصي فقط، ويمنع النسخ أو التحويل أو النشر عبر أي وسيلة (مثل مواقع الانترنت أو البريد الالكتروني) دون تصريح خطي من أصحاب حقوق النشر أو دار المنظومة.



MULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

JORDAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

MULTIOBJECTIVE RELIABILITY-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAMS

Ву

Mohammed Qahtan Taha

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

at

The Faculty of Graduate Studies

Jordan University of Science and Technology

Aug. 2000

Signature of Author	Date:
---------------------	-------

Committee Members

Dr. Samer Barakat, (Chairman)

Dr. Fayez Abdulla

Dr. Khaldoon Bani-Hani

Dr. Muhammad I. Rjoub, (Cognate, Al-Balqa' Applied University)

Signature and Date

- 3-8,200.

3.-.8.-.2000

3-8-2000